

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,839	06/27/2005	Masanori Abe	Q88793 8993	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 10/03/200 ⁻		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			MILLER, DANIEL H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/540,839	ABE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel Miller	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/7/20	<u>007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 3-7,11-19 and 22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-7,11-19 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
A44h	(
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

- 1. Claims 3-4, 7, 14-15, 18-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Young (6,586,087).
- 2. An article of manufacture that has a component (substrate) capable of being sealed with a copper aluminosilicate glass. The glass has a composition consisting essentially, in terms of weight percent on an oxide basis, of 35-68 SiO.sub.2, 3-25 Al.sub.2 O.sub.3, 2-26 B.sub.2 O.sub.3, 0-20 R.sub.2 O, 0-30 RO, 2-33 CuO, 0-4 F, 0-10 M.sub.x O.sub.y, where R.sub.2 O is an alkali oxide selected from the group consisting of Li.sub.2 O, Na.sub.2 O, and K.sub.2 O, and RO is an alkaline earth oxide selected from the group consisting of CaO, MgO, ZnO, SrO, and BaO, and M.sub.x O.sub.y is a transition metal oxide selected from the group consisting of Co.sub.3, TiO.sub.2, NiO, MnO.sub.2, and Fe.sub.2 O.sub.3. The present invention also pertains to a method of sealing the article (see abstract).
- 3. The compositional percentages taught overlaps applicant's claimed compositions, therefore the disclosure is considered to anticipate the reference. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to select a composition within the range as disclosed by applicant and taught by the reference (Young) since they overlap compositionally and percentage wise.

Art Unit: 1775

4. Regarding applicant's claimed to spherical protruded layers and zirconium and group 3a concentration, it appears from applicant's disclosure that the layers are formed inherently from the composition. Therefore, the same layering and protrusions as claimed by applicant would be expected in the disclosed invention of Young.

- 5. Claims 3-4, 7, 14-15, 18-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chiba (6,362,119).
- 6. Chiba teaches a barium borosilicate glass which consists essentially of, as represented by mass% based on the following oxides:
- 7. B.sub.2 O.sub.3 5 to 35%, SiO.sub.2 0.5 to 30%, BaO 25 to 75%, Al.sub.2 O.sub.3 0.5 to 13%, SnO.sub.2 0 to 2%, CeO.sub.2 0 to 2%, MgO + CaO + SrO 0 to 10%, ZnO 0 to 20%, TiO.sub.2 0 to 5%, ZrO.sub.2 0 to 5%, Li.sub.2 O 0 to 5%, Na.sub.2 O 0 to 5%, and K.sub.2 O 0 to 5% (see abstract).
- 8. The compositional percentages taught overlaps applicant's claimed compositions, therefore the disclosure is considered to anticipate the reference. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to select a composition within the range as disclosed by applicant and taught by the reference since they overlap compositionally and percentage wise.
- 9. The glass is used on a coating in a variety of application (substrates), such as glaze for dishes or coatings for electronic components (column 1 line 5-10).
- 10. Regarding applicant's claimed to spherical protruded layers and zirconium and group 3a concentration, it appears from applicant's disclosure that the layers are formed inherently from

Art Unit: 1775

the composition. Therefore, the same layering and protrusions as claimed by applicant would be expected in the disclosed invention of Chiba.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 5-6, 1-13, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiba (US 6,362,119) in view of Kub (US 6,323,108).
- 13. Chiba teaches a barium borosilicate glass which consists essentially of, as represented by mass% based on the following oxides:
- 14. B.sub.2 O.sub.3 5 to 35%, SiO.sub.2 0.5 to 30%, BaO 25 to 75%, Al.sub.2 O.sub.3 0.5 to 13%, SnO.sub.2 0 to 2%, CeO.sub.2 0 to 2%, MgO + CaO + SrO 0 to 10%, ZnO 0 to 20%, TiO.sub.2 0 to 5%, ZrO.sub.2 0 to 5%, Li.sub.2 O 0 to 5%, Na.sub.2 O 0 to 5%, and K.sub.2 O 0 to 5% (see abstract).
- 15. The compositional percentages taught overlaps applicant's claimed compositions, therefore the disclosure is considered to anticipate the reference. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to select a composition within the range as disclosed by applicant and taught by the reference since they overlap compositionally and percentage wise.

Art Unit: 1775

16. The glass is used on a coating in a variety of application (substrates), such as glaze for dishes or coatings for electronic components (column 1 line 5-10).

- 17. However the reference is silent as to an intermediate SiO2 layer.
- 18. Kub teaches a common configuration for an electrical device (semiconductor) with a silicon substrate and a SiO2 interlayer (see figures). It would have been obvious to use the sealing layer of Chiba in the electrical device of Kub providing a SiO2 interlayer because it is a common and known electrical (semiconductor) configuration and the coating of Chiba are taught to be employed for electrical devices.
- 19. The claimed molten layer would inherently form when the melted top layer of Chiba is formed over the SiO2 layer of Kub.

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Miller whose telephone number is (571)272-1534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1775

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571)272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Miller

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER